

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/083,410	02/27/2002	Takuya Saeki	43496	7390	
1609 75	90 02/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			MULLIS, JI	MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
1300 19TH STI SUITE 600	REET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N., DC 20036		1711		

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/083,410	SAEKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 I</u>	November 2003.						
·	s action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language p 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	nts have been received. nts have been received in Application of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 first sentence of the specification or ovisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	ation No ived in this National Stage ved. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Serial No. 10/083,410 Art Unit

1711

All remaining rejections and/or objections follow.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

It is not clear what is intended by applicants! phrases "graft polymers" and "at least two graft polymers". While these phrases would ordinarily be clear to those skilled in the art, these phrases are not clear when viewed in light of applicants' specification. Note for instance Example 1 in which "two graft polymers" are said to be produced despite the fact that the starting materials which are polyethers (apparently derivatized with maleic anhydride) have molecular weights of about 500 (as can be deduced by the reaction stoichiometry) and which are polymerized to a molecular weight of 6600 and would therefore have on the order of 10 chains of polyethers combined (in that the amount of acrylic acid is insignificant contained to the mass of the polyethers). As 10 molecules of polyether are apparently combined based on the disclosed molecular weight, the polyether chains could not be said to be separate graft polymers. Furthermore derivatization of a macromolecular material (such as in the instant case a polyether) by an unsaturated group and

Serial No. 10/083,410

Art Unit 1711

polymerization of the monomeric material is a process known in the art to produce comb polymers and generally the backbone material is viewed as the unsaturated monomeric material utilized and not the macromolecular material. Applicants' lexicography is confusing and appears to be contradictory to that used in the art in this regard given that applicants refer to the backbone as the polyether rather than referring to the polyether as a side chain. While applicants possibly acknowledge the fact that sometimes two polyether chains are combined in the product at page 8 lines 4-13, nonetheless Example 1 refers to the production of "two graft polymers" despite the fact that the stoichiometry of Example 1 would require that different polyether chains be combined into a single molecule (given that the polyethers utilized at molecular weights of very roughly 500 while the product has a molecular weight of 6600 and very little additional material aside from polyethers themselves are utilized in the process which would account for the increased molecular weight). Applicants' use of the terms "graft polymer" and "graft polymers" is therefore unclear. Applicants' meaning of the term "main chain" is also unclear given that the main chain comb polymer is generally viewed as the monomeric material which polymerizes (in the instant case acrylate). Admittedly in applicants' case very

Serial No. 10/083,410

Art Unit 1711

little acrylate is used but given the fact that the art generally recognizes the monomeric material as the backbone, it is unclear what applicants intend. Applicants of course are free to be their own lexicographer even in cases where such lexicography is contradictory to that utilized in the art, but nonetheless applicants' lexicography must be clear.

Claim 23 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 23 recites a definition, namely that the number of carbon atoms in said end structural unit is defined as 0 and the polyether includes only hydroxy terminal end structural units and given that said definition applies to all the claims, it cannot be said that claim 23 further limits any preceding claim.

Applicants' remarks are moot since all previous rejections and/or objections have been withdrawn.

This Office action is not being made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey

Serial No. 10/083,410

Art Unit 1711

Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

J. Mullis:cdc

February 8, 2004

Jolfrey Mallo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711